

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576)
brian.rocca@bingham.com
Sujal J. Shah (SBN 215230)
Susan J. Welch (SBN 232620)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: 415.393.2000
Facsimile: 415.393.2286

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
John E. Schmidtlein (SBN 163520)
jschmidtlein@wc.com
Jonathan B. Pitt (*pro hac vice*)
James H. Weingarten (*pro hac vice*)
Benjamin M. Stoll (*pro hac vice*)
725 12th St NW
Washington DC 20005-3901
Telephone: 202.434.5901
Facsimile: 202.434.5029

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
Hill B. Wellford (*pro hac vice*)
hill.wellford@bingham.com
Jon R. Roellke (*pro hac vice*)
Gregory F. Wells (SBN 212419)
2020 K Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: 202.373.6000
Facsimile: 202.373.6001

Attorneys for Defendant
Google Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GARY FEITELSON, a Kentucky resident, and
DANIEL MCKEE, an Iowa resident, on behalf
of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 5:14-cv-02007 BLF

**AMENDED STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO CONTINUE
HEARING ON GOOGLE INC.'S
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT AND CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
(LOCAL RULE 6-2)**

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
Dept. Courtroom 3, 5th Floor

CASE NO. 5:14-CV-02007 BLF

AMENDED STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING AND CMC
(LOCAL RULE 6-2)

1 Plaintiffs Gary Feitelson and Daniel McKee on behalf of themselves and all others
 2 similarly situated (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) (together, “the Parties”)
 3 jointly submit this Stipulation and Proposed Order to continue the hearing on Google’s Motion to
 4 Dismiss Plaintiffs’ First Amended Class Action Complaint and the subsequent Case
 5 Management Conference, presently scheduled for November 19, 2014 and December 11, 2014,
 6 respectively.

7 WHEREAS, on August 11, 2014, the Court ordered that the hearing on Google’s motion
 8 to dismiss Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint would take place on November 20, 2014, and the
 9 Initial Case Management Conference would take place on December 11, 2014. *See* Dkt. 33;

10 WHEREAS, on October 28, 2014, the Court ordered that the hearing on Google’s motion
 11 to dismiss be rescheduled from November 20, 2014 to November 19, 2014. *See* Dkt. 40;

12 WHEREAS lead trial counsel for Google has a trial that conflicts with the presently
 13 scheduled date of the hearing on Google’s motion to dismiss;

14 WHEREAS Google has not previously requested a change of date for the hearing on its
 15 motion to dismiss;

16 WHEREAS Google has requested a change of dates for the hearing and Case
 17 Management Conference to accommodate its counsel’s schedule, the Parties have met and
 18 conferred, and reached agreement on an alternative hearing schedule, and also an alternative date
 19 for the Case Management Conference.

20 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to,
 21 and ask the Court to approve, the following:

22 1. The hearing on Google’s motion to dismiss shall be continued to December 18,
 23 2014 at 9:00 a.m.

24 2. The Case Management Conference scheduled on December 11, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.
 25 shall be continued until January 22, 2015 at 1:30 p.m.

26
 27 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
 28

1 DATED: November 11, 2014

2 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca
5 Brian C. Rocca
6 brian.rocca@bingham.com
7 Attorneys for Defendant
8 Google Inc.


9 DATED: November 11, 2014

10 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

11 By: /s/ Robert F. Lopez
12 Steve W. Berman (*pro hac vice*)
13 Robert F. Lopez (*pro hac vice*)
14 steve@hbsslaw.com
15 robl@hbsslaw.com
16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17 Gary Feitelson et al.

18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: November 12, 2014

20 
21 Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28